

## DCMTK - Bug #457

### Check whether Modality LUT Transformation should be applied

2012-10-29 09:43 - Jörg Riesmeier

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2012-10-29
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jörg Riesmeier	<b>% Done:</b>	80%
<b>Category:</b>	Library	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	3.6.4	<b>Compiler:</b>	
<b>Module:</b>	dcmimgle		
<b>Operating System:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
<p>According to this newsgroup posting, the Modality LUT Transformation should not always be applied to the pixel data, i.e. even if it is present in the DICOM dataset:</p> <p><a href="https://groups.google.com/forum/?hl=de&amp;fromgroups#!topic/comp.protocols.dicom/n5ybyj1Psno">https://groups.google.com/forum/?hl=de&amp;fromgroups#!topic/comp.protocols.dicom/n5ybyj1Psno</a></p> <p>Here's an excerpt from David Clunie answer:</p> <p>By the way, in general, it can be difficult to decide whether or not to apply the conceptual Modality LUT step before windowing, even if it is specified by Rescale Slope/Intercept values rather than an actual LUT. For example, in MR images to which Philips has added the rescale values, these should not be applied before their window values; likewise in PET images, especially those with GML Units and rescale values to SUV (small decimal numbers), the window values are historically usually in stored pixel values rather than SUVs. Making the correct decision may require comparing the range of possible rescaled output values (across the domain of possible input stored pixel values) with the specific window values, to see if the latter "make sense".</p> <p>Currently, the DicomImage class only ignores the Modality LUT Transformation for the following SOP Classes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• UID_XRayAngiographicImageStorage</li><li>• UID_XRayRadiofluoroscopicImageStorage</li><li>• UID_RETIRED_XRayAngiographicBiPlaneImageStorage</li></ul>			

#### History

##### #1 - 2017-03-24 12:44 - Marco Eichelberg

- Target version changed from 3.6.2 to 3.6.3

##### #2 - 2017-12-07 11:57 - Marco Eichelberg

- Target version changed from 3.6.3 to 3.6.6

##### #3 - 2018-08-02 17:22 - Jörg Riesmeier

- Status changed from New to Assigned

- Assignee set to Jörg Riesmeier

- Target version changed from 3.6.6 to 3.6.4

- % Done changed from 0 to 80

After checking Part 3 of the DICOM standard (and David Clunie's above referenced posting), it seems to be the best idea to just warn when an (old) MR image, PET image or RTDOSE object contains a Modality LUT transformation. For these old objects, there does not seem to be an easy way to check whether the transformation should be applied (for display) or not.

A proposed patch is available and will be committed soon...

**#4 - 2018-08-03 19:01 - Jörg Riesmeier**

- *Status changed from Assigned to Closed*

Closed with commit #7f7648c.